



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Coln

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/036,135

11/07/2001

Yeshik Shin

594728113US

3390

25096

7590

10/03/2005

PERKINS COIE LLP

PATENT-SEA

P.O. BOX 1247

SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, STEVEN H D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2665

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/036,135

Applicant(s)

SHIN ET AL.

Examiner

Steven HD Nguyen

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                                                |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-8, 12, 14-23, 25-30, 32 and 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burnett (USP 5703875).

Regarding claims 1 and 25, Burnett discloses method in a communications device for transmitting packets (Fig 2) comprising receiving packets, each packet being a control packet or a data packet (col. 2, lines 1-9); storing the received packets in memory of the communications device (col. 2, lines 19-29); selecting the stored packets based on whether the packets are control packets or data packets (col. 2, lines 30-39); retrieving the selected packets from memory of the communications device and transmitting the retrieved packets in order of their selection (col. 2, lines 40-44).

Regarding claims 2 and 26, Burnett discloses the memory of the communications device includes a portion for storing data packets (Fig 2, ref 13) and separate portion for storing control packets (Fig 2, ref 12).

Regarding claims 3 and 27, Burnett discloses each portion of the memory is a FIFO buffer (Fig 2).

Regarding claims 4 and 28, Burnett discloses the communications device has multiple ports and the selecting of the stored packets is performed for packets to be transmitted via the same port (Col. 2, lines 19-38).

Regarding claim 5, Burnett discloses the packets with a packet type of control include command packets (col. 1, lines 18-22).

Regarding claims 6, Burnett discloses the packets with a packet type of control include status packets (col. 1, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 7, Burnett discloses the packets with a packet type of control include message packets (Fig 2, Ref 12, Col. 2, lines 55-60).

Regarding claims 8, 29 and 30, Burnett further discloses while transmitting a data packet, receiving a control packet; interrupting the transmission of the data packet; transmitting the control packet; and after the control packet is transmitted, continuing with the interrupted transmission of the data packet (col. 2, line 61 to col. 3, line 17).

Regarding claims 12 and 32, Burnett discloses the communications device is a switch that connects host devices to data store devices (fig. 1 and col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 9).

Regarding claims 14 and 34, Burnett discloses the selecting includes selecting control packets before selecting data packets (col. 1, lines 43-48).

Regarding claims 15 and 35, Burnett discloses the selecting includes applying a selection algorithm (priority-based selection) that gives preference to selecting control packets over data packets (col. 1, lines 36-51).

Regarding claims 16 and 36, Burnett discloses a method in a communications

Art Unit: 2665

device for transmitting packets, the method comprising receiving packets in an order, each packet being a first packet type or a second packet type (col. 1, lines 36-42), transmitting the received packets in an order in which whether the packets are a first packet type or a second packet type (col. 1, lines 36-51 and col. 2, lines 19-45).

Regarding claims 17-18 and 37-38, Burnett discloses the first packet type is a data packet and the second packet type is a control packet and control packets are transmitted before data packets (col. 1, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 19, Burnett discloses the selecting includes applying a selection algorithm (priority-based selection) that gives preference to selecting control packets over data packets (col. 1, lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 23, Burnett discloses the communications device is a switch that connects host devices to data storage devices (Fig. 1 and col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 9).

Regarding claims 20, 21 and 39, Burnett discloses the communications device has multiple ports (Fig 1), the packets are received via a single port (Fig 1, Ref 23); and the selecting of the stored packets is performed for packets to be transmitted via the same port (Fig 1, ref 23).

Regarding claim 22, Burnett discloses the packets are received via different ports (Fig 1, ref 23).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2665

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett (USP 5703875) in view of Ellis (USP 5497371).

Regarding claim 9, Burnett fails to disclose each packet has a header and the continuing includes transmitting a header corresponding to the interrupted portion of the data packet. in the same field of endeavor, Ellis discloses continuing includes transmitting a header corresponding to the interrupted portion of the data packet (col. 2, line 55-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for attaching a header to the remaining part of the packet as disclosed by Ellis into the system and method of Burnett. The motivation would have been to protect the interrupted packet from

6. Claims 13, 24 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett (USP 5703875) in view of Howe (USP 20030189922).

Regarding claim 13, 24 and 33, Burnett fails to disclose the communications device is part of a storage area network. However, Howe teaches a communications device (Fig. 9, an integrated layer one switch having similar functions as disclosed in claim 1) is part of a storage area network (par. 0077). Therefore, as was taught by Howe, it would have been obvious to have the communications device of Burnett configured as part of a storage area network in order to provide a variety of applications for the packet-based communications network using the method of packet ordering based on the packet type.

7. Claims 10-11 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett (USP 5703875) in view of Cidon (USP 5343473).

Regarding claim 10, Burnet fails to disclose continuing transmitting includes transmitting the remainder of the data packet without transmitting a new header. However, Cidon discloses continuation of a data packet transmission after an interruption of a control packet can be done by transmitting the remainder of the data packet without a new header (Fig 2, Ref 20h discloses the remainder of packet is transmitting without header).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a step of continuing transmitting of the remainder of the data packet without transmitting a new header as disclosed by Cidon into Burnet's method since an advantage of doing so is to maintain the integrity of data packets before and after the interruption made by the control packet.

Regarding claims 11 and 31, Burnett discloses the interrupting of the transmission includes transmitting a control message to preempt any data message currently transmitted and continuing the interrupted transmission by reverting the crossbar state to resume data message

(col. 3, lines 7-17). Burnett differs from the claimed invention in that he does not teach transmitting a preempt primitive and a continue primitive before and after the interruption, respectively. However, Cidon discloses a method and system for transmitting a preempt primitive and a continue primitive before and after the interruption, respectively (Fig 2, Ref 20C, preempt on and 20G is preempt off and the remainder of packet 20b will be transmitting, Col. 5, lines 56 to col. 6, lines 8).

Since, Burnett suggests the use of start and end of message and preemption indicator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a preempt primitive and a continue primitive before and after the interruption, respectively as disclosed by Cidon into the system and method of Burnett in order to inform the receiving side of the start and ending of the interruption prioritized by the control packet.

### *Conclusion*

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Honig (USP 6487171) discloses a switch for halting the transmitting packet and transmitting broadcast packet and resuming transmitting of the remainder of transmitting packet wherein each type of packet is stored in different portion of buffer.

Calvignac (USP 5557608) discloses a switch for halting the transmitting packet and transmitting broadcast packet and resuming transmitting of the remainder of transmitting packet wherein each type of packet is stored in different portion of buffer.



Art Unit: 2665

Aatresh (USP 6067301) discloses a switch for transmitting control packet before data packet wherein each type of packet is stored in different portion of buffer.

Sourani (USP 6631132) discloses a system for the transmitting packet and transmitting broadcast packet and resuming transmitting of the remainder of transmitting packet wherein each type of packet is stored in different portion of buffer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Steven HD Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2665  
9/27/05